Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/006,559	DAVIES ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Qutub Ghulamali	2637
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Qutub Ghulamali</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Mark L. Becker.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 November 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
Imborder Wile-		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	ignature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/006,559

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The office considered the inclusion of claim 17 that was objected to into dependent claim 15, without further consideration of dependent claim 16, invalidated claim 15. The applicant's representative elected to amend claim 15 by including the indicated allowable subject matter of claim 20 into claim 15 and cancelling claim 17 and adding new claim 31. Such amendment is necessary to correct deficiency with claim 15, so as to advance prosecution.